



Heritage Advisory Committee

Minutes

10 April 2024

Committee Terms of Reference

The Heritage Advisory Committee is to provide heritage advice on:

- 1. City-owned heritage assets*
- 2. strategic and statutory planning instruments*
- 3. promotion and education of heritage and history*
- 4. enhancement of economic development and tourism opportunities*
- 5. matters raised by the general community*

City of Bunbury
4 Stephen Street
Bunbury WA 6230
Western Australia

Correspondence to:
Post Office Box 21
Bunbury WA 6231

Table of Contents

<u>Item No</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Page No</u>
1.	Declaration of Opening	1
2.	Disclaimer	1
3.	Announcements from the Presiding Member	1
4.	Attendances	1
4.1	Apologies.....	2
4.2	Approved Leave of Absence.....	2
5.	Declaration of Interest	2
6.	Public Question Time	2
7.	Confirmation of Minutes	2
8.	Petitions, Presentations and Deputations	4
8.1	Petitions	4
8.2	Presentations	4
8.3	Deputations.....	4
9.	Method of Dealing with Agenda Business	4
10.	Reports	5
10.1	Proposed Tree Streets Heritage Area – Outcomes of Public Consultation.....	5
10.2	Governor Stirling Survey Location	13
10.3	Summary of Referrals and Communications	17
11.	Applications for Leave of Absence	20
12.	Questions from Members	20
12.1	Response to Previous Questions from Members taken on Notice.....	20
12.2	Questions from Members	20
13.	Urgent Business	20
14.	Date of Next Meeting	20
15.	Close of Meeting	20

Acknowledgement of Country

We acknowledge the traditional owners of the land, the Noongar Wardandi people and their continuing connection to the land, waters and community. We pay our respects to all members of the Aboriginal communities and their cultures; and to Elders past, present and emerging.

Vision

Bunbury: welcoming and full of opportunities.

Organisational Values

#WEARECOB

WE ARE COMMUNITY	<ul style="list-style-type: none">We are one teamWe keep each other safeWe display empathy and respectWe have fun and celebrate our successesWe work together to achieve great outcomes
WE ARE OPEN	<ul style="list-style-type: none">We are open to opportunitiesWe actively listen and think things throughWe are inclusive and treat everyone equallyWe are honest and open in our communicationsWe are open to feedback to improve our performance
WE ARE BRAVE	<ul style="list-style-type: none">We lead the change, we own itWe trust and empower each otherWe have the difficult conversations earlyWe hold ourselves to the highest standardWe have the courage to improve and simplify

Heritage Advisory Committee

Minutes

10 April 2024

Members of the public to note that recommendations made by this committee are not final and will be subject to adoption (or otherwise) at a future meeting of the Bunbury City Council.

1. Declaration of Opening

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 4pm.

2. Disclaimer

Not applicable to this committee.

3. Announcements from the Presiding Member

The Presiding Member announced that Mayor Jaysen Miguel and Cr Karen Turner were attending the Committee meeting.

4. Attendances

Committee Members:

Member Name	Representing
Cr Todd Brown	City of Bunbury
Cr Cheryl Kozisek	City of Bunbury
Cr Tresslyn Smith	City of Bunbury – Presiding Member
Bernhard Bischoff	Community
Rod Cutler	Community
Graham Houghton	Community
Julie Knipping	Community
Megan Lawless	Community
Duncan Rutherford	Community
Robert Schmidt	Community

Support Staff:

Name	Title
Lacey Brown	Strategic Planning Officer (Heritage)
Annalise Miller	Senior Strategic Planning Officer
Matilda Hodge	A/Team Leader City Planning
Barbara Macaulay	Manager Planning and Building

4.1 Apologies

An apology was received from:

- Julie Knipping;
- Duncan Rutherford;
- Rod Cutler.

4.2 Approved Leave of Absence

Nil

5. Declaration of Interest

IMPORTANT: Committee members to complete a “Disclosure of Interest” form for each item on the agenda in which they wish to disclose a financial/proximity/impartiality interest. They should give the form to the Presiding Member <u>before</u> the meeting commences. After the meeting, the form is to be forwarded to the Administration Services Section for inclusion in the Corporate Financial Disclosures Register.
--

Nil

6. Public Question Time

Not applicable

7. Confirmation of Minutes

The Minutes of the Heritage Advisory Committee held on 7 February 2024 have been circulated.

Recommendation

The minutes of the Heritage Advisory Committee Meeting held on 7 February 2024, are confirmed as a true and accurate record.

Outcome of the Meeting held 10 April 2024

The recommendation was moved Cr Cheryl Kozisek, seconded Graham Houghton.

The Presiding Member put the motion to the vote and it was adopted to become the Committee’s decision on the matter.

Committee Decision

The Minutes of the Heritage Advisory Committee Meeting held on 7 February 2024, are confirmed as a true and accurate record.

CARRIED

7 votes “for” / Nil votes “against”

8. Petitions, Presentations and Deputations

8.1 Petitions

Nil

8.2 Presentations

Nil

8.3 Deputations

Mr Kent Lyon presented on the proposed Tree Streets Heritage Area (Item 10.1) and associated local planning policy. Andrew Cooke attended as observer.

9. Method of Dealing with Agenda Business

All items were considered in the order they were listed in the agenda.

10. Reports

10.1 Proposed Tree Streets Heritage Area – Outcomes of Public Consultation

File Ref:	COB/100
Applicant/Proponent:	Heritage Advisory Committee
Responsible Officer:	Lacey Brown, Strategic Planning Officer (Heritage)
Responsible Manager:	Barbara Macaulay, Manager Planning and Building
Executive:	Sarah Upton, Acting Director Sustainable Development
Authority/Discretion	<input type="checkbox"/> Advocacy <input type="checkbox"/> Review <input type="checkbox"/> Executive/Strategic <input type="checkbox"/> Quasi-Judicial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Legislative <input type="checkbox"/> Information Purposes
Attachments:	Appendix 1 – Schedule of Submissions Appendix 2 – Draft Local Planning Policy 4.3

Summary

Following Council’s decision on 27 June 2023 to proceed to advertising of the proposed Tree Streets Heritage Area and associated draft Local Planning Policy 4.3, the public consultation has now been completed. Consideration of the submissions received during the public consultation period has been completed and is presented to the Heritage Advisory Council for their review and recommendation. Based on the submissions and strong opposition to the proposal, it is recommended that Council do not proceed with the designation of the heritage area and associated local planning policy.

Executive Recommendation

That the Heritage Advisory Committee recommend to Council:

1. To note the Schedule of Submissions following public consultation of the proposed Tree Street Heritage Area and associated draft Local Planning Policy 4.3 (Appendix 1);
2. To not proceed with the proposal of the Tree Streets Heritage Area designation and associated Local Planning Policy 4.3 (Appendix 2);
3. To advise the property owners/occupiers in writing of the Council decision.

Voting Requirement: Simple Majority

Strategic Relevance

Pillar	Place
Aspiration	An integrated, vibrant and well planned City.
Outcome 7	A community with high-quality urban design and housing diversity.
Objective 7.1	Promote responsible planning and development.
Objective 7.2	Grow recognition and respect for local history and heritage.

Regional Impact Statement

Heritage is important for the community and has environmental, economic and social benefits as a resource for present and future generations. The City of Bunbury recognises the importance of identifying, assessing and managing heritage places within its local government area to align with contemporary community values.

Background

The deemed provisions of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* (the deemed provisions) identify that a heritage area should be designated ‘if, in the opinion of the local government, special planning control is needed to conserve and enhance the cultural heritage significance and character of an area...’. A separate local planning policy (LPP) is required for each heritage area designated under the local planning scheme. The requirements of such an LPP are detailed in Clause 9(2), Schedule 2 of the deemed provisions.

In 2004, the City of Bunbury commissioned an investigation into the cultural heritage significance of the Tree Street Area. The initial investigation was undertaken by Considine and Griffiths Architects with Historian Robin Chinnery. During the 2004 analysis of the proposed Tree Street Heritage Area, the study area included Karri Street, Jarrah Street, Banksia Street, Stockley Road, Beach Road and included a section of Tuart Street from Sampson Road to Beach Road. There were opposing views from the community and extensive consultation through the formation of a working group. The finalisation of a heritage area did not proceed due to the lack of consensus. Recent demolitions and new built form have triggered further consideration of this area as part of the Local Heritage Survey (LHS) review.

The City of Bunbury Local Housing Strategy (2021) investigated, amongst other matters, appropriate infill areas. The proposed Tree Streets Heritage Area is in a location close to the CBD and schools that would normally trigger higher density investigations. However, given the character and cluster of heritage listings in this area, it was an action of the Strategy to investigate the Tree Streets potential as a heritage area in the first instance (Action 8).

In December 2021, the City engaged heritage professionals, Stephen Carrick Architects, to undertake heritage assessments of priority locations across the City. The HAC and members of the public had previously identified the CBD and the ‘Tree Streets’ (HAC recommendation August 2021). The project team included a heritage architect and historian. Consideration of previous work (Considine and Griffiths, 2004), street surveys and desktop research informed the assessment as previously reported to Council in January 2023. The assessment was based on the Guidelines for Heritage Areas (draft), DPLH, 2022 and set out –

- a) a map showing the boundaries of the heritage area;
- b) a statement about the heritage significance of the area;
- c) a record of places of heritage significance in the heritage area.

The Bunbury Council resolved on 31 January 2023 (Decision 009/23) to support the proposal of a designated heritage area and require the preparation of a local planning policy and an engagement plan. Further on 27 June 2023 (Decision 110/23) Council resolved to proceed to advertise the proposal and draft local planning policy for a period of 42 days (later extended to 56 days in total). A public information session was held on 8 August 2023.

Council Policy Compliance

Not applicable.

Legislative Compliance

The following legislation and statutory planning instruments of the State and Local Planning Framework are applicable:

Legislation

- *Heritage Act 2018*
- *Planning and Development Act 2005*
- *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*
- The Burra Charter

State Planning Framework

- Guidelines for Local Heritage Surveys 2019
- Guidelines for Establishing a Heritage List 2021
- Statement of Planning Policy 3.5 Historic Heritage Conservation

Local Planning Framework

- City of Bunbury Local Planning Strategy
- City of Bunbury Local Planning Scheme No.8 (LPS8)
- Local Planning Policy 6.1 – Heritage Conservation and Development
- Local Planning Policy 6.2 – Heritage Listing, Assessment and Concessions

Officer Comments

The proposed heritage area and associated local planning policy

The criteria in the draft Local Planning Policy 4.3 (LPP)(Appendix 2) are based on the assessment conducted by Stephen Carrick Architects and best heritage practice. LPP's contain design guidelines that demonstrate how the values of a heritage area can be retained. They are based on performance criteria and design solutions to reinforce the heritage streetscapes and conserve and retain significant housing stock. The aim is to guide development without detracting from the values of the area.

Demolition of places of little or no significance may be considered but any new builds will need to respond to the design guidelines in the LPP. Design review is an important approach to determine whether the required performance criteria have been achieved. The City provides a free Heritage Advisory Service to assist with design review of proposals.

Another key consideration is that new buildings in heritage areas should not replicate or mimic existing buildings in design, appearance and materials. This provides a more honest or identifiable response to architecture and demonstrates that buildings do not have to look 'old' to respond to their setting and surroundings.


These objectives were explained in the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) sheets and Public Information Session of 8 August 2023.

Outcomes of the Public Consultation

At the close of the public consultation period, the following submissions were received:

- 114 submissions (16 outside of area) of objection;
- 10 submissions (1 outside of area) of support; and
- A petition with 149 signatories.

Approximately 51% of properties within the proposed heritage area submitted some form of response (submission/petition signatory). Of these submissions, 94% did not support the proposal.




Themes of Public Consultation

Objection

The grounds of objection from the submissions were varied, with the key themes including:

- retaining the status quo of the area
- pursuing a character area
- redevelopment of the area through demolition, density increase and/or subdivision.

The petition was against the proposed local planning policy, however sought for the City to engage in a more extensive dialogue with owners/residents to investigate options that could preserve the integrity of the Tree Streets area and respect the character, while supporting the longer-term owners.



In addition to the key themes addressed above, some of the matters raised in the objections included:

- Residents are doing a good job of self-managing the character to date with no need for intervention.
- There is very little erosion of character (low numbers of demolition or unsympathetic development).
- No heritage area, rather individual heritage listings.
- Concerns regarding associated costs with a heritage area, including insurance premiums, maintenance and repair of an old house, devaluation of property, bureaucratic red tape of development applications.
- The local planning policy should not address internal works, gardens or require like-for-like materials (noting hardwood timber, such as jarrah, not easily available and expensive).
- Old houses are not energy efficient and cannot achieve this without double glazing, insulation, solar panels etc.
- Believe heritage assessment survey was flawed.
- Concerns relating to the boundary of the proposed heritage area, such as it being too large and should not include mixed-use section on Beach Road, Wattle Street or Sampson Road.
- Social and well-being impacts of the heritage area and local planning policy, such as stress, anxiety, financial burden, uncertainty.
- No adequate compensation.

Support

The grounds of support were in recognition that this proposal was a mechanism to retain and conserve heritage places, reduce demolition, conserve mature trees and facilitate new development. A number of amendments to the local planning policy were recommended to address greater flexibility with regards to materials, acceptable solutions and maintenance. It was recognised that there were broader community benefits in having a heritage area that would leave an architectural legacy for current and future generations.

Responses to key themes

The majority of objections did not want to enforce a statutory protection of places within the proposed heritage area. A full schedule of submissions is provided at Appendix 1. The key issues identified in the submissions are set out below:

Issue Raised	Officer Comments
Concerns with demolitions occurring in the area	It is noted that there have been a number of demolitions within the area in recent years. The City has limited controls as to the design of the new build, apart from Residential Design Code and Local Planning Scheme compliance. The only mechanism available to the City to prevent demolitions of a single house is through a heritage listing/heritage area designation.
Concerns that residents are not able to demolish	<p>The submissions and discussion at the public information sessions indicate that there is portion of the community that do want to demolish and redevelop (push for increased density to facilitate subdivision). It is considered that future demolitions may have impacts on the existing character of the area.</p> <p>Through the draft local planning policy, demolition of properties which have been identified as having ‘little or no significance’ can be supported. Partial demolition of high or moderate properties may also be considered if removing detracting additions.</p>
Economic impacts of proposal	Officers do not consider economic matters as part of the heritage area designation process. However submitters concerns regarding property valuations, insurance premium increases and maintenance costs are included in the full schedule of submissions for Council’s consideration.
Energy efficiency of older homes	It is noted that a range of energy efficiency measures can be incorporated into older houses such as insulation and solar panels. There are best practice heritage principles as to where and how these measures are incorporated into the heritage building so to not impact negatively on the streetscape or the cultural heritage value.
Concerns regarding initial heritage assessment	The heritage assessment undertaken was based on approved guidelines from the Heritage Council of WA and was conducted by an experienced heritage practitioner. For heritage areas, it is acceptable to base levels of significance on a street survey, with more detailed assessments and consultation being generally associated with individual heritage listing proposals.
Concerns with the impact of living in a designated heritage area and future development	<p>The intent of a heritage area is not to stop development but to ensure that it is carried out in a sympathetic manner whilst retaining the values of a heritage place. It is recognised that some change is often required to ensure homes provide a comfortable and contemporary living standard. Sympathetic modern extensions are possible.</p> <p>The criteria that is considered in determining the significance of an area mean places can be significant for reasons other than age and grandeur. Places of different ages, modest and grand, plain and beautiful alike form part of history and heritage.</p>
Concerns regarding the heritage area boundaries	Determining heritage area boundaries is challenging and the heritage consultant acknowledged this, however advised that the first response is to usually incorporate roads and reserves as boundaries. This approach was utilised to determine the boundaries for this proposal. Matters raised in consultation would have been

	given further consideration in determining the boundaries.
Concerns regarding draft local planning policy	The content of local planning policies for heritage areas should be based on best practice heritage standards, however may be as comprehensive or limited in scope as decided by the Council. The content of the draft policy is often guided by consultation and feedback from the community. It is noted that the City received positive feedback from the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (Heritage Services) on the content of the draft local planning policy.

The key message from the submissions is that property owners/occupiers overwhelmingly object to the proposal of the heritage area and associated local planning policy. It is noted that the objections are for different reasons, with some being conflicting in their future vision for the area. This may need to be addressed through place-making or community driven-initiatives that establish what the future should look like for this community.

Research has been undertaken with other local governments that adopted a community-driven approach for character areas or heritage areas. These local governments required residents to take an active role in what they deemed significant or worth protecting, with residents nominating a street/area for consideration as a character or heritage area. These local governments require at least 40% of the property owners within that area to support the proposal. If this level of support was achieved then the local government would investigate the proposal in accordance with approved Heritage Council of WA guidelines and local government policy to see if there was merit in proceeding with the proposal.

It was also raised at the Public Information Session on 15 March 2024 that the planning framework is not static and that the City may be directed from other levels of government or lobby groups to review planning controls. This could include further density investigations.

Analysis of Financial and Budget Implications

If Council does not proceed with the proposal, there would be no financial or budget implications.

If Council is to proceed with the proposal, additional places included within a heritage area could result in an increase of rate concession applications. The area proposed includes 302 properties of varying significance. Historically the take up of rate concessions is generally quite low, however this could have future budget implications. Rate concessions, subject to eligibility, may be 30% or a maximum of \$1000/year, whichever is the lesser. Any rate concessions would be considered in accordance with the City of Bunbury Local Planning Policy 6.2 Heritage Listing, Assessment and Concessions.

Community Consultation

The proposal was publicly advertised to all property owners and occupiers within the area as per legislative requirements outlined under the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* for a period of 56 days. Feedback was being sought on the proposal of a heritage area, the proposed boundary, the levels of contribution and the design criteria as detailed within the LPP.

Throughout the advertising period, City Officers were available for 1 on 1 meetings to discuss the proposal. Two public information sessions have been held regarding the proposal, with the first being held on the 8 August 2023 during the consultation period and one on the 15 March 2024 to discuss the outcomes of the consultation.

Councillor/Officer Consultation

A Council Briefing was held on 9 May 2023 in which the intent of a heritage area and LPP were discussed. Councillors were also invited to attend the Public Information Sessions. Consultation has also occurred at an officer level with the Development Coordination Unit and City Planning. Associated policy implications have been discussed with officers across the Infrastructure, Sustainable Communities and Strategy and Organisational Performance Directorates. The Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) was also consulted on any variations sought against the State Planning Policy 7.3 - Residential Design Codes and DLPH (Heritage Services) commended the City on the local planning policy content which was reflective of best practice.

Applicant Consultation

Not applicable.

Timeline: Council Decision Implementation

The Executive and Heritage Advisory Committee recommendation will be presented to the May 2024 Ordinary Council Meeting for a decision.

Outcome of the Meeting held 10 April 2024

The recommendation was moved by Graham Houghton, seconded Robert Schmidt.

The Presiding Member put the motion to the vote and it was adopted to become the Committee's decision on the matter.

Committee Decision

That the Heritage Advisory Committee recommend to Council:

1. To note the Schedule of Submissions following public consultation of the proposed Tree Street Heritage Area and associated draft Local Planning Policy 4.3 (Appendix 1);
2. To not proceed with the proposal of the Tree Streets Heritage Area designation and associated Local Planning Policy 4.3 (Appendix 2);
3. To advise the property owners/occupiers in writing of the Council decision.

CARRIED

6 votes "for" / 1 vote "against" (Cr Tresslyn Smith)

10.2 Governor Stirling Survey Location

File Ref:	COB/517		
Applicant/Proponent:	Heritage Advisory Committee		
Responsible Officer:	Lacey Brown, Strategic Planning Officer (Heritage)		
Responsible Manager:	Barbara Macaulay, Manager Planning and Building		
Executive:	Sarah Upton, Acting Director Sustainable Development		
Authority/Discretion	<input type="checkbox"/> Advocacy	<input type="checkbox"/> Review	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Executive/Strategic	<input type="checkbox"/> Quasi-Judicial	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Legislative	<input type="checkbox"/> Information Purposes	
Attachments:	Nil		

Summary

At the Annual General Meeting of Electors held on the 30 January 2024 a motion was carried requesting Council consider an area at the western end of Frank Buswell Foreshore for a memorial where, Governor Stirling’s contribution to Bunbury and the Region can be explained:

“The Council consider setting aside an area at the western end of Frank Buswell Foreshore for a memorial where, among other things, Governor Stirling’s contribution to Bunbury and the Region can be explained.”

The Electors’ Motion was reported to the Council Meeting of 12 March 2024 where it was decided to refer the matter to the Heritage Advisory Committee for a recommendation to Council. The motion raises the question of the role of memorials in contemporary society and if all perspectives are incorporated. It is recommended that no further memorials to Governor Stirling are set aside on this site, given the existing recognition. Future heritage initiatives will be conducted in consultation with the local Elders Group and community to ensure a richer understanding of our shared history and story.

Executive Recommendation

That the Heritage Advisory Committee recommend Council to:

1. Not endorse the setting aside of land for further memorials to Governor Stirling.
2. Note that the significance of the Bunbury Townsite Peg No.1 is currently identified by a plaque and that the content of the plaque is contested by historians.
3. Note that the proposed Stirling Street Heritage Trail 2025/26, will be subject to engagement with the local Elders Group and community to ensure that all narratives, and manner in which these narratives are told, are considered in the trail.

Voting Requirement: Simple Majority

Strategic Relevance

Pillar	Place
Aspiration	An integrated, vibrant and well planned City.
Outcome 7	A community with high-quality urban design and housing diversity.

- Objective 7.1 Promote responsible planning and development.
Objective 7.2 Grow recognition and respect for local history and heritage.

Regional Impact Statement

Heritage is important for the community and has environmental, economic and social benefits as a resource for present and future generations. The colonial events that occurred had major impacts on the history and heritage of the region.

Background

At the Annual General Meeting of Electors Mr Bischoff asked:

“Has the City Council, in relation to the planned development at the Buswell Foreshore, taken account of the outstanding historic significance and subsequent opportunities of the area at the western end of the Foreshore, where a cairn and plaque commemorate Stirling’s pegging of his selection, granted to him as Leschenault Loc. 26 in 1841, the pegging which from all evidence lead to the beginning of Bunbury and the opening up of the region in March 1830?”


The Mayor provided a preliminary response at the meeting which outlined that the current works at Frank Buswell Foreshore were on the eastern half of the foreshore only. The works include the replacement of the existing seawall and footpath, as well as the replacement of the playground equipment at a location to be determined following community consultation.

There is an existing cairn and plaque, that is in need of maintenance, located at the western end of Frank Buswell Foreshore. Governor Stirling had investigated this point as part of his explorations for a personal land grant in 1830. The content states:

**GOVERNOR STIRLINGS’S LOCATION 26
NORTHERN BOUNDARY**

Governor James Stirling pegged the northern boundary of his selected land (Leschenault Location 26) 10 metres west of this point.

In June 1841 Surveyor H.M. Ommaney placed a 9 ft peg on the spot, labelled Townsite Peg No 1 as part of the official survey of the Bunbury Townsite.



Location of cairn and plaque in Frank Buswell Foreshore Reserve

Council Policy Compliance

There is currently no Council Policy applicable.

Legislative Compliance

Not applicable.

Officer Comments

The motion raises a wider consideration in contemporary society as to what monuments or commemorations represent. Whilst events cannot be removed from history, they can be part of a ‘truth telling’ which acknowledge the impacts on the traditional owners of the land, the Noongar people. The Explorers’ Monument in Fremantle is an example of where a colonial monument was altered to include a ‘counter-memorial’, where one view of the past takes issue with another and history is seen, not as a final statement, but a contested narrative.

It is noted that there are already building and road recognitions in the name of Stirling. No further acknowledgements have been planned or budgeted at this stage but may be revisited in future Bunbury Heritage Trails, such as the proposed Stirling Street Heritage Trail 2025/26. This trail, subject to budget allocation, would engage with the local Elders Group and community to ensure that the trail tells a shared history from all perspectives.

Analysis of Financial and Budget Implications

There are no funds currently budgeted for further memorial works to be undertaken on the Frank Buswell Foreshore. A budget bid has been put forward for a proposed Stirling Street Heritage Trail in 2025/26.

Community Consultation

There has been commentary in the media that Elders across the region strongly reject any further memorials to Governor Stirling. Meeting with the Bunbury Elders Group on 26 March 2024 also confirmed that the timing of the proposed Stirling Street Heritage Trail in 25/26 would be more appropriate to engage with the local Elders Group and community to establish the narrative and the manner in which it should be told. The matter was also discussed at “The Yarning Circle” on 28 March 2024 which highlighted that any further memorial to Governor Stirling would be strongly opposed. Discussions with the local elector that raised the motion confirmed that the intent of the motion was to tell all narratives associated with any colonial events through the form of public art or storyboards, rather than a statue.

Councillor/Officer Consultation

The Council Decision (039/24) was to refer this matter to the Heritage Advisory Committee and report back to Council with a recommendation. Consultation with the Community Development Officer – First Nations occurred and the recommendation to consult further with the Bunbury Elders Group was actioned.

Applicant Consultation

Not applicable.

Timeline: Council Decision Implementation

The Council Decision would be applicable for the proposed Stirling Street Heritage Trail 2025/26.

Outcome of the Meeting held 10 April 2024

The recommendation was moved by Cr Cheryl Kozisek, seconded Graham Houghton.

The Presiding Member put the motion to the vote and it was adopted to become the Committee's decision on the matter.

Committee Decision

That the Heritage Advisory Committee recommend Council to:

1. Not endorse the setting aside of land for further memorials to Governor Stirling.
2. Note that the significance of the Bunbury Townsite Peg No.1 is currently identified by a plaque and that the content of the plaque is contested by historians.
3. Note that the proposed Stirling Street Heritage Trail 2025/26, will be subject to engagement with the local Elders Group and community to ensure that all narratives, and manner in which these narratives are told, are considered in the trail. That the Heritage Advisory Committee note the summary of referrals and communications as detailed in the Officer Comments.

CARRIED

6 votes "for" / 1 vote "against" (Bernhard Bischoff)

10.3 Summary of Referrals and Communications

File Ref:	COB/517		
Applicant/Proponent:	Heritage Advisory Committee		
Responsible Officer:	Lacey Brown, Strategic Planning Officer (Heritage)		
Responsible Manager:	Barbara Macaulay, Manager Planning and Building		
Executive:	Sarah Upton, Acting Director Sustainable Development		
Authority/Discretion	<input type="checkbox"/> Advocacy	<input type="checkbox"/> Review	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Executive/Strategic	<input type="checkbox"/> Quasi-Judicial	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Legislative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Purposes	
Attachments:	Nil		

Summary

The Heritage Advisor and Strategic Planning Officer (Heritage) have provided details to the Heritage Advisory Committee on recent development application referrals, discussions and events since the last Committee meeting.

Executive Recommendation

That the Heritage Advisory Committee note the summary of referrals and communications as detailed in the Officer Comments.

Voting Requirement: Simple Majority

Strategic Relevance

Pillar	Place
Aspiration	An integrated, vibrant and well planned City.
Outcome 7	A community with high-quality urban design and housing diversity.
Objective 7.1	Promote responsible planning and development.
Objective 7.2	Grow recognition and respect for local history and heritage.

Regional Impact Statement

Heritage is important for the community and has environmental, economic and social benefits as a resource for present and future generations. The City of Bunbury recognises the importance of identifying, assessing and managing heritage places within its local government area to align with contemporary community values.

Background

The Heritage Advisory Committee (HAC) is advised of recent development applications, decisions, policy, enquiries and/or events to assist in the awareness and promotion of heritage development and education in Bunbury. This provides a transparent approach for the wider community and is consistent with the terms of reference for the HAC.

Council Policy Compliance

Not applicable.

Legislative Compliance

The following legislation and statutory planning instruments of the State and Local Planning Framework are applicable:

Legislation

- *Heritage Act 2018*
- *Planning and Development Act 2005*
- *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*
- The Burra Charter

State Planning Framework

- Guidelines for Local Heritage Surveys 2019
- Guidelines for Establishing a Heritage List 2021
- Statement of Planning Policy 3.5 Historic Heritage Conservation

Local Planning Framework

- City of Bunbury Local Planning Strategy
- City of Bunbury Local Planning Scheme No.8 (LPS8)
- Local Planning Policy 6.1 – Heritage Conservation and Development
- Local Planning Policy 6.2 – Heritage Listing, Assessment and Concessions

Officer Comments

The referral and communications list is as follows:

1. Leschenault Homestead
2. #1 Moore Street
3. #29 Charles Street
4. #10 Turner Street
5. #12 Preston Street
6. #122 Victoria Street
7. #15 Victoria Street
8. #44 Jarvis Street
9. Proposed Tree Streets Heritage Trail – Public Information Session
10. Ocean Beach Heritage Trail
11. Governor Stirling Survey Peg
12. Australian National Trust Heritage Festival
13. Bunbury Heritage Forum

Analysis of Financial and Budget Implications

Not applicable.

Community Consultation

Not applicable.

Councillor/Officer Consultation

Not applicable.

Applicant Consultation

Not applicable.

Timeline: Council Decision Implementation

Not applicable.

Outcome of the Meeting held 10 April 2024

The recommendation was moved by Cr Cheryl Kozisek, seconded Graham Houghton.

The Presiding Member put the motion to the vote and it was adopted to become the Committee’s decision on the matter.

Committee Decision

That the Heritage Advisory Committee note the summary of referrals and communications as detailed in the Officer Comments.

CARRIED
7 votes “for” / Nil votes “against”

Standing orders were suspended at 4.41pm (moved by Cr Cheryl Kozisek, seconded Graham Houghton)

CARRIED
7 votes “for” / Nil votes “against”

Return to standing orders at 4.55pm (moved by Cr Cheryl Kozisek, seconded Robert Schmidt)

CARRIED
7 votes “for” / Nil votes “against”

11. Applications for Leave of Absence

Not applicable to this committee.

12. Questions from Members

12.1 Response to Previous Questions from Members taken on Notice

Nil

12.2 Questions from Members

Graham Houghton

Has the City progressed any ideas of using The Australind carriage as a focus to tell the story of the railways in Bunbury's history? I realise that there will be infrastructure issues but that does not stop the compilation of the history.

Officer response (Lacey Brown)

The CEO has been tasked with preparing a report (Council Decision 014/24) for a future Council meeting with the options for the use and cost of repurposing the carriage. The completed report to Council should provide further information on this question.

13. Urgent Business

The Presiding Member advised that "The Australian Garden History Society" is having its national conference in Bunbury on 18th – 21st October 2024.

14. Date of Next Meeting

The next meeting of the Heritage Advisory Committee will be held on 5 June 2024, unless required earlier for urgent business.

15. Close of Meeting

The Presiding Member closed the meeting at 5:05pm.